



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: **10/773,227** Conf. No.: **3166**
Filing Date: **February 9, 2004** Examiner: **Joshua Benitez**
Applicant: **Tomoo FURUKAWA et al.** Group Art Unit: **2829**
Title: **EVALUATION APPARATUS OF LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE, LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE, AND EVALUATION METHOD OF LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE**
Attorney Docket: **12480-000034/US**

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
Mail Stop Amendment

August 1, 2007

SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT AND AMENDMENT

Sir:

This Supplemental Reply to Restriction Requirement and Amendment supplements the Reply to Restriction Requirement and Amendment previously filed on July 13, 2007. For the Examiner's convenience all claim amendments and arguments of the previously filed Reply to Restriction Requirement and Amendment are included and shown in this supplemental reply. The only difference between the two replies is that the language "be an evaluation method" at line 5 of page 28 is changed to "by an evaluation method" to correct a clerical error in new claim 56.

Amendments to the Claims begin on **page 2** of this response.

Remarks begin on **page 31** of this response.